# A NEW IFORMIIN SCULPTURE 

## THINKING ABOUT Edna

Mameys latest work. titled rack, which I shall describe in a moment. 1 am tempted to give a distinct name to what: I feel is a new form in sculpture: FREE fish richer. she has made of course, in the past other sculptures in this technique yet the wrinality of the form eluded me, even though I fully acknowledge their: importance. Haying coined bravely a new term in art I how propose to explain how I arrived at it.

Relief sculpture, and here I quote iron A Dictionary of Art and Artists, "is that which is not iree-standing and, in having a. background, approximates to the condition of painting. There are several names to indicate the varying depths of projection ranging from alto rilievo. or high relief - which is almost detached from the ground through mezzo relieve to besrelief (basso relievo). and furthen still to relievo stiacciato (or schiacciato) which is scarcoly more tina scratched." So much for the definition of relief sculpture and there is no suggestion in it of the type of bas-relief that Edna Manley has created.
Indeed. her bas-reliefs are quite different and in a class of their own, for having no backgrounds they do not approximate to the condition of painting. Although like pictures hung on a wall like picut-out fragments of conventional besfragments of therefore frce-stand ing to some, if not $=$ lull, extent. But to call them "free-standing oas reliefs" would be going terms) while free Eas-reliefs terms) while

## BUT HOW DD EDNA RAN-

LEY arrive at this new form in sculpture? Who was it that consciously or otinerwise, $\therefore$ inflaenced her search for a new and highly personal means of expression?
I. believe it was Auguster Roodin (1340-1917). the most cole. berated sculptor of the late 19 th century, whose statues had such! lifelike quality and accuracy of anatomical proportions that one of totem: Bronze Age gave rise to a malicious accusation that it had been made from a cast taken from a live model. He also created a new form the fragment as a finisited work, mainly a head and trunk or simply a pair oi hands; as well as unfinished figures (in this he was himself influenced by Michelangelo) finishing only parts of them and. leaving others embedded in the rough block ore thus distilled the essences of emotions and movemints which could not be ob gained in conventional works.

## by Ig̊nacy Eker

Nevertheless, they were all tridimensional sculptures and his only bas-relicf. Gate of Hell, commissioned in 1880 as a door for the Ecole de Arts Decoratifs, was never completed: it was a conventional work, the door itself providing the background like. in a painting to a turbulent mass of ensures.

Edna Manley's bas-reliefs on the other hand, hare no backerrounds (there is only one that I can think of that has and can be therefore regarded
as unique creations. Indeed they carry on Rodin's process they carry on Rodin's process of distillation of essences o movements and mother and penetrate to stage very mainsp ing of them. This is certainly true of Granth an early work. and The Angel, a recent one: and even more so of the Faun, her latest piece.

Carved in mahogany, it consists of head and hands only. and the hands are so placed. as Their modelling is slight so as not to divert attention from the face - the face of a wild. looking yet strangely attractive young man, whose forehead is framed in luxuriant. mass of hair with two somewhat ambiruous shapes on either siãe
of it that could be horns or ears.
AS I LOONEX ai it on a late

Sunday afternoon it seemed to gather to itself in a dimming light the fleeting shadows of the studio's darkening interior, and with them all the sorrow and sadness of existence. The taut face, the rigid hands with long, upward-straining fingers and especially the emotively enlarged eyes with their andours, sidelong gaze seemed to he gripped by a strange fear. But what- the fear. Was of I could not fell: perhaps of the passing of youth, or loss of carefree innocence, or perhaps even of death. Of all of Edna Manley's ports this one is, one one feels, the most romantic; and therefore the most enif metic.
WE HAVE NO MUSEUMS or galleries in which paintings of old and inodern masters are displayed for the edification of art students and the public, and this, of course, is a great pity. Indeed the situation might have been ${ }^{\text {grim }}$ if it were not for the availability of reproductions which, if never completely such cessful, at least give the viewer: some idea of what these great pictures are like. Surely the purists' are wrong in 'insisting shot only the original. Works should be studied; for in our ....... for in our seems cute justified com
seems quite justifec $\quad$ promise
The current exhibition of reproductions of famous paintings (coming from the Institute Collection) at the Art Gallery of the Institute of Jamaica, bears out my argument; ion not only dues it offer an impressive range of old and modern works, but surprises pleasantly with a reasonable standard of prints produced by New -York Frapic Society, publishers of Fine Art.

In the traditional section I was delighted to find a. great. favourite of mine, Giovanni
Ecllini's'St. Francis in Eestasy). Bellini's St. Francis in Ecstasy). The saint is standing in front of his hermit's cave gazing in woncement at tie vaulting sky, his arms outstretched as if he wants to embrace the whole worlcl. Now withstanding the rules of perspective the entire landscape, even in the faraway distance, can be seen with marvillous clarity: the donkey and the heron by the winding stream in the middle distance; the castle on the hill and the sheherd with his flock of sheep grazing beside its wails in the background; the trees and rocks, every blade and leaf glistening, are carefully observed and painted with the
same luvins care: they proclaim a mystical, parity of nature.
ECSTASV, TOO, is the subject of El Greco's The Virgin: With Saint mes and Saint zecla, though here the ere is no sementy or repose. The figures have elongated limos and seem conEven by a nervous tension. then the chaperies swathing their own. Or them like on poweriul wings the figures are borne heavenward. By contrast, Rembrandt's Philosopher is self-abcorned in quiet contemplation and the mysterious interplay of light on his face reflects this mood. It is an extraordinarily perceptive psychological study as wed as a revelation of profound emotion.
The art of Giorgione, Raphael Leonarcio cia Vinci and Tin toretto can also be studied from their in nest paintings, especially Tintoretto's whose Christ at time sea of Galilee with its overcast skies and stomm-driven waves is one of the most dramatic scenes on view: and among the moderns one encounters such household names as Van Gosh Gauguin, Matisse, Modigliani Picasso. But how insignificant they all seen when compared with the gratis of rae past. Degas alone can bear comparison with their genius and his Daneers at the Practice Bar shimmen like the wings of a magusficent buiterily.

